

In  
1952

President Truman  
established one day a year as a  
"National Day of Prayer."

---

In  
1988

President Reagan  
designated the  
First Thursday in May of each year as  
the National Day of Prayer.

---

In June  
2007

(then)  
Presidential  
Candidate Barack Obama  
declared that the USA  
"Was no longer a  
Christian nation."

---

This year  
President Obama  
canceled the  
21st annual National Day  
of Prayer ceremony  
at the White  
House under the ruse

Of "not wanting to offend anyone"

---

**BUT... on September 25,  
2009**

from 4 AM until 7 PM,

**a National Day of Prayer  
FOR THE MUSLIM  
RELIGION**

**was Held on Capitol Hill,  
Beside the White House.**

There were over 50,000 Muslims  
in D.C. that day.



**HE PRAYS WITH THE MUSLIMS!**

**I guess it Doesn't matter**

if "Christians"

Are offended by this event -

We obviously

Don't count as

"anyone" Anymore.

**The direction this country is headed  
should strike fear in the heart of every Christian,  
especially knowing that the  
Muslim religion believes that if Christians cannot  
be  
converted, they should be annihilated.**

This is not a Rumor -

Go to the website

To confirm this info:

<http://www.islamoncapitolhill.com>

**Send this to ten people  
*and* the person who  
sent it to you!...  
to let them know that  
indeed, it was sent  
out to many more.**

My summer reading recommendation is "Blind Spots" by Max H. Bazerman and Ann E. Tenbrunsel.

In it they explore our propensity for bounded awareness, the exclusion of important and relevant information by placing arbitrary and dysfunctional constraints around our definition of an issue or problem. "This results in systematic failure to see information that is relevant to our personal lives and professional obligations." page 7

These ivy league School of Business ethicists have plenty of scientific studies to prove "we are also subject to bounded ethicality, or systematic constraints on our morality that favor our own self-interest at the expense of other." page 8

This chain mail is a good example.

This chain mail begins by declaring the president a traitor because he has not been faithful to the "day of prayer" litmus test of Christian loyalty. Although he issued a day of prayer proclamation in 2010 and 2011, he did not choose to hold an ecumenical service as Bush

had done in each of his 8 years. Before Bush, there had only been a couple of services held. The first was Regan's sole interfaith prayer meeting. However, in April of 2010, a federal judge ruled the National day of prayer unconstitutional, in spite of Obama's Justice Dept. brief in the court proceedings for maintaining the tradition.

[http://www.cbsnews.com/8301-503544\\_162-20002706-503544.html](http://www.cbsnews.com/8301-503544_162-20002706-503544.html)

The CBS news piece referred to the Snopes.com analysis of the accusation that Obama prayed with the Muslims and not the Christians. Snopes declares it false and explains the facts well. They are a respected on line investigator of rumor and propaganda, but when caught up in bounded awareness, we believe what we want to believe.

<http://www.snopes.com/politics/obama/prayerday.asp>

The picture of Obama taking his shoes off and claiming he is praying with the Muslims was taken completely out of context.

"What the photograph does in fact show is President Obama removing his shoes, per custom, before entering the famous Sultan Ahmed Mosque ("Blue Mosque") in Istanbul during his April 2009 visit to Turkey (see the correctly captioned image, credited to White House photographer Pete Souza, " [here](http://urbanlegends.about.com/od/barackobama/ss/obama_praying_at_mosque.htm) ). [http://urbanlegends.about.com/od/barackobama/ss/obama\\_praying\\_at\\_mosque.htm](http://urbanlegends.about.com/od/barackobama/ss/obama_praying_at_mosque.htm)

Obama was also quoted out of context in order to prove a traitor to the Christian nation status, as explained on factcheck.org.

**"Obama, June 28, 2006 (prepared remarks):** Given the increasing diversity of America's population, the dangers of sectarianism have never been greater. Whatever we once were, we are no longer just a Christian nation; we are also a Jewish nation, a Muslim nation, a Buddhist nation, a Hindu nation, and a nation of nonbelievers.

That quote [appears also on Obama's campaign Web site](#). Unfortunately for Obama, he stumbled just a bit when he delivered the actual quote, as can be seen in [this video of his speech](#), posted on YouTube by the Obama campaign. The way it actually came out was:

**Obama, June 28, 2006 (as delivered):** Whatever we once were, we are no longer a Christian nation – at least, not just. We are also a Jewish nation, a Muslim nation, a Buddhist nation, and a Hindu nation, and a nation of nonbelievers. "

Sure enough, it was used against him in a sectarian PR war.

[http://www.factcheck.org/askfactcheck/did\\_obama\\_say\\_we\\_are\\_no\\_longer.html](http://www.factcheck.org/askfactcheck/did_obama_say_we_are_no_longer.html)

For a Christian to say that governmental even handedness is being a traitor to Christians, is a good example of bounded ethicality. The superiority of the Christian Aryan nation is one of the most dangerous hot buttons in history.

These facts are available via google from other reputable sources as well. But due to the constraints of our beliefs, we don't look for answers outside our comfort zone. However, this narrowing of vision results in serious ethical transgressions without awareness of the consequences.

Lastly, the writer declares the Muslim religion believes Christians should be annihilated. That is a textbook example of the propaganda technique, "the big lie". This lie is designed to manipulate the believer into justifying wishing annihilation of Muslims in "self defense". It projects the free floating hostility on to the "other", justifying that very hostility, and making that hostility holy. It exploits the classic Freudian coping defense mechanism of projection. It is an exploitation of our shadow self.

The writer stokes resentment, fear, and loathing, and the faithful mob is convinced it is either us or them.

Fear of diversity and loss of special status is rampant in this piece of propaganda. It is cloaked in self-righteous indignation and the dangerous group think of god given privilege. It is a dangerous shared delusion that has white washed some of the worst atrocities in history.

We must learn to see our own blind spots, to avoid making the same mistakes again. That starts with questioning our need to follow leaders who lie, fear monger, and manipulate. You are free to believe the end world prophesy, but don't you dare try to help make it happen.

On May 30, 2011, at 9:04 PM, ... wrote:

i agree with ...

----- Original Message -----

Sent: Monday, May 30, 2011 8:57:50 AM

Subject: email

I don't understand why this email caused such an upheaval. It is clear to me that the U.S. has not been a christian nation for years. Many presidents have been christian in name only and we knew about Obama before he was elected,yet, it is true many of us are upset about our country losing its great inheritance of godly, christian beginnings.

On the other hand, because the nature of religions is to be mutually exclusive, (including atheism), it is understandable why there are so many different points of view. Those who have not come to the cross and met Jesus personally will be tragically in the dark, yet continually professing to be wiser than the rest.

I recommend the book, INSIDE THE REVOLUTION, by Joel Rosenberg. The last third of the book describes the miracles happening in the middle east. Thousands of muslims are converting to Christianity by way of dreams and visions of Jesus.

So the only good muslim is a converted muslim? The nature of authoritarian religion is intolerance ( or mutually exclusive as the writer says) but we need to all agree to disagree peacefully. The featured chain e-mail does not advocate mutual coexistence. It advocates Christian dominance while distorting the facts presented, and that is the problem. Religious ideology blinds us to all but one perspective. When that is combined with victimhood and self righteous indignation, coexistence is denied and aggression is rationalized.

Dale